

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,486	08/25/2003	Stuart J. Ledingham	VALUENG.027FWC1	2368
20995	7590 05/10/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE N	MARTENS OLSON & I	FERGUSON, MICHAEL P		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IRVINE, CA 92614		. 3679	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan		10/647,486	LEDINGHAM, STUART J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael P. Ferguson	3679			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External and a control of the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ap	oril 2004.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No			
	$3. \square$ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen	• •	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔀 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/28/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

。 第12章 大型中央工作的中央工作。 第2章 大型中央工作的中央工作,在12章 中,12章 中,12章

生。但是自身的现在分词的操作。如此是对于自己的对象的。

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/647,486

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-15, in Paper dated April
 23, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 16-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper dated April 23, 2004.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed November 28, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 3679

引起電子的影響的包括發射的智慧用翻譯多層網絡的實質。如此於於於於於自然

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 13 and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of the application encompass the limitations of the patent. The limitations of claims 1, 2, 7-9, 13 and 14 of the application although broader are obviously met by claims 1-3 of the patent because it is obvious that the "first fastener" of the instant claim 1 is encompassed by the "pair of spaced fasteners" of patent claims 1 and 2, that the first and second clamp sections of the patent claims constitute the first and second clamp halves, and that patent claim 3 recites the slot feature for the second clamp half as set forth in instant claim 1.
- 7. Claims 3-6 and 15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 in view of Robinson (US 3,550,969).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,486

75111 51 1 Vallibor: 1075 17, 10

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 3, U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 fails to claim a clamp wherein a first fastener comprises a bolt and a threaded nut.

Robinson teaches a clamp wherein a first fastener comprises a bolt **13,14** and a threaded nut; tightening of the nut on the bolt securing clamp halves **12,22** together, and securing the clamp to a rail **17** (Figures 1-3, column 3 lines 45-50).

It would have been advantageous for a clamp as disclosed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have the first fastener constitute a bolt and a threaded nut to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail, especially when the bolt and threaded nut are conventionally known fasteners.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have a first fastener that comprises a bolt and a threaded nut as taught by Robinson in order to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

As to claim 4, U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 fails to claim a clamp wherein a threaded nut comprises an axial threaded sleeve attached to the nut.

Robinson teaches a clamp wherein a threaded nut comprises an axial threaded sleeve attached to the nut (the nut comprising two portions: a threaded sleeve portion and a washer portion); tightening of the axial threaded sleeve of the nut on the bolt securing clamp halves **12,22** together, and securing the clamp to a rail **17** (Figures 1-3, column 3 lines 45-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,486

Art Unit: 3679

It would have been advantageous for a clamp as disclosed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have a threaded nut comprising an axial threaded sleeve attached to the nut to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have a threaded nut comprising an axial threaded sleeve attached to the nut as taught by Robinson in order to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

As to claim 5, U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 fails to claim a clamp wherein a first fastener comprises a screw.

Robinson teaches a clamp wherein a first fastener comprises a screw 13,14; the screw securing clamp halves 12,22 together, and securing the clamp to a rail 17 (Figures 1-3, column 3 lines 45-50).

It would have been advantageous for a clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have the first fastener constitute a screw to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail. Especially when the screw is a conventionally know fastener.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have a first fastener that comprises a screw as taught by Robinson in

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/647,486

Art Unit: 3679

order to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

As to claim 6, U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 fails to claim a clamp wherein one clamp half comprises a peg extending from a first inner surface thereof and the other clamp half comprises a hole in a second inner surface thereof to receive the peg.

Robinson teaches a clamp wherein one clamp half 22 comprises a peg (bolt 13.14 defining a peg) extending from a first inner surface thereof and the other clamp half 12 comprises a hole in a second inner surface thereof to receive the peg; the peg securing clamp halves 12,22 together, and securing the clamp to a rail 17 (Figures 1-3, column 3 lines 45-50).

It would have been advantageous for a clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6.685,385 to have one clamp half comprising a peg extending from a first inner surface thereof and the other clamp half comprising a hole in a second inner surface thereof to receive the peg to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have one clamp half comprising a peg extending from a first inner surface thereof and the other clamp half comprising a hole in a second inner surface thereof to receive the peg as taught by Robinson in order to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/647,486

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 15, U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 fails to claim a clamp wherein a first body comprises a pin extending in the transverse direction, and a second body comprises a hole adapted to receive the pin.

Robinson teaches a clamp wherein a first body 22 comprises a pin (bolt 13,14 defining a pin) extending in the transverse direction, and a second body 12 comprises a hole adapted to receive the pin; the pin securing clamp halves 12,22 together, and securing the clamp to a rail 17 (Figures 1-3, column 3 lines 45-50).

It would have been advantageous for a clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6.685.385 to have a first body comprising a pin extending in the transverse direction, and a second body comprising a hole adapted to receive the pin to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6.685.385 to have a first body comprises a pin extending in the transverse direction, and a second body comprises a hole adapted to receive the pin as taught by Robinson in order to provide for securely fastening clamp halves together, and securely fastening the clamp to a rail.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, 8. but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,486

Art Unit: 3679

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject 9. matter:

As to claim 10, U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 fails to recite a clamp comprising a nut sandwiched between first and second clamp bodies, the nut being adapted to receive a set screw, the set screw having a longitudinal axis extending perpendicular to and intersecting the common central axis of the arcuate sections.

It would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a clamp as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6,685,385 to have the above mentioned elements as the prior art neither teaches nor suggests such modifications absent the instant application.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. The following patents show the state of the art with respect to clamp assemblies:

Strange (US 3.827.815) and Hunter (US 3,325,227) are cited for pertaining to clamps for receiving first and second rods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703)308-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703)308-2686. The fax phone

手上 為中國 含 Tal Additional Addition

Art Unit: 3679

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

04/30/04

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**